	Case 3.03-cv-03303-F v F Document 33 The 09/13/10 Fage 1 0/3					
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION					
11						
12	STEVEN THOMPSON, et al., Case No.: C 09-5385 PVT					
13	Plaintiffs, ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA					
14	V.					
15	WASHINGTON MUTUAL,					
16	Defendant.					
17						
18	On April 15, 2010, this court issued an order to show cause why the case should not be					
19	transferred to either the Western District of Washington or the District of Columbia. Plaintiffs					
20	responded and expressed a preference for transfer to the District of Columbia. Plaintiffs have since					
21	amended their complaint to specifically name the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC")					
22	as a named Defendant. Based on the file herein,					
23	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case is hereby transferred to the United States District					
24	Court for the District of Columbia.					
25	Transfer to the District of Columbia is warranted because under the Financial Institutions					
26	Reform and Recovery Enforcement Act of 1989 ("FIRREA") Plaintiffs may sue the FDIC only in the					
27	district court for the district within which the depository institution's principal place of business is					
28	located (in this case the Western District of Washington), or else the United States District Court for					

Case 5:09-cv-05385-PVT Document 35 Filed 09/13/10 Page 2 of 3

the District of Columbia. See, 12 U.S.C. § 1821(d)(6)(A). Because this court lacks jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims, it must either dismiss the case, or transfer it to a court in which the action could have been brought at the time it was filed. See FED.R.CIV.PRO. 12(h)(3); and 28 U.S.C. § 1631. It appears from the complaint that, at the time this case was filed, it could have been brought in either the Western District of Washington or the District of Columbia. Thus, transfer to one of those courts rather than dismissal appears to be warranted. See 28 U.S.C. § 1631 ("Section 1631") (providing for transfer of an action to another district in the interest of justice when the action could have been brought in that district at the time it was filed).

Dated: 9/13/10

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge

	Case 5:09-cv-05385-PVT	Document 35	Filed 09/13/10	Page 3 of 3		
1						
2	copies mailed on 9/13/10	to:				
3	Steven Thompson Post Office Box 92214					
4	Henderson, NV 89009					
5	Aster Kifle-Thompson Post Office Box 92214					
6	6 Henderson, NV 89009					
7	/s/ Donna Kirchner					
8	/s/ Donna Kirchner DONNA KIRCHNER Judicial Law Clerk					
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14 15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	Order, page 3					